## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicants would like to thank the Examiner for the careful consideration given the present application. The application has been carefully reviewed and amended in light of the Office Action and a discussion held with the Examiner on May 30, 2007, in which an agreement was reached regarding amendments to the independent claims based on the teachings of Tsuiikawa. The following remarks are presented for the Examiner's consideration.

Claims 1, 5, 9, and 15 are amended.

Claims 9-20 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsujikawa (U.S. Patent No. 5,991,435). The rejection should be withdrawn for at least the following reasons. Claims 10-14 depend from claim 9 and claims 16-20 depend from claim 15. With regard to amended claim 9, Tsujikawa does not disclose a printing inspection data generating apparatus having a grouping means for classifying and grouping the element shape and position data into data groups which are grouped according to a grouping condition to identify at least one data group according to the grouping condition apart from other data group than the data group grouped.

Rather, Tsujikawa merely depicts individual electrode surfaces P1, P2, and P3 formed at each of three corners on a printed circuit board (Fig. 9). The electrode surfaces P1, P2, and P3 are not grouped according to a grouping condition nor is there any teaching in Tsujikawa that would or that does indicate a grouping according to a grouping condition. In Fig. 8 of Tsujikawa, the centers of cream solders Q1, Q2, and Q3 are printed on the electrode surfaces P1, P2, and P3; however, again, there is no grouping of the electrode surfaces P1, P2, and P3 according to a grouping condition.

Similarly in claim 15, Tsujikawa fails to disclose or teach a printing inspection data

generating method element shape and position data corresponding to a plurality of pattern holes

is grouped into at least one data group which is grouped according to a grouping condition apart

from other data group, wherein the grouped data is identified by the grouping condition.

Tsujikawa is entirely silent on grouping electrodes or element shape and position data

into groups which are grouped according to a grouping condition. The representations in Figs. 8

and 9 of Tsujikawa do not demonstrate a grouping of the electrodes according to a grouping

condition. Instead, they merely show a plurality of electrodes (and/or with their corresponding

centers of cream solders printed thereon) on a circuit board. Thus, Tsujikawa does not teach

each and every element as set forth in the rejected claims and therefore, does not anticipate the

claims.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsujikawa

(U.S. Patent No. 5,991,435) in view of official notice (MPEP 2144.43). Applicants respectfully

disagree for at least the following reasons. Tsujikawa fails to disclose or suggest a printing

inspection apparatus wherein the inspection data is generated by classifying and grouping solder element shape and position data corresponding to a plurality of pattern holes into at least one

data group which is grouped according to a grouping condition apart from other data group, wherein the grouped data is identified by the grouping condition, as recited in amended

claim 1. Similarly, Tsujikawa does not teach or suggest a printing inspection method that

outside 1. Similarly, Isujikawa does not teach of suggest a printing inspection method that

includes making a go/no-go judgment of the printing state based on inspection data generated by

grouping unit shape and position data corresponding to a plurality of pattern holes into at

least one data group which is grouped according to a grouping condition apart from other

Page 10 of 11

Appln. No. 10/626.140 Amendment dated June 27, 2007

Reply to Final Office Action dated February 1, 2007

data group, wherein the grouped data is identified by the grouping condition, as recited in

amended claim 5.

The Examiner appears to take official notice with respect to a displaying means of claim

1, which fails to cure the aforementioned deficiency of Tsujikawa. Hence, Tsujikawa in view of

the official notice would not have made claims 1-8 obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at

the time the invention was made.

In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in

condition for allowance and notice to that effect is hereby requested. If it is determined that the

application is not in condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to initiate a telephone

interview with the undersigned attorney to expedite prosecution of the present application.

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge same to

our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No. 35948.

Respectfully submitted.

PEARNE & GORDON, LLP

/Deborah L. Corpus/

Deborah L. Corpus, Reg. No. 47753

Date: June 27, 2007

1801 East 9th Street Suite 1200

Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108 (216) 579-1700

Page 11 of 11